arXiv:0909.3015v1 [hep-ph] 16 Sep 2009

CP^3- Orgins: 2009-15

Instantons and quark zero modes in AdS/QCD

Jacopo Bechi*

CP^3-Origins, Campusvej 55, DK-5230 Odense M, Denmark.

Abstract

In this paper the quark zero modes creation effect is studied in the context of the AdS/QCD approach. This effect is generated, in presence of instantons, by a new term that can be added in the bulk.

---

*E-mail: bechi@fi.infn.it

I. INTRODUCTION

About ten years are passed from the Maldacena's famous paper [1]. In the meantime the subject of the gauge/string duality has enormously expanded in several directions. By the more phenomenological side the field opened with the seminal papers [2][3]. In this approach, named also *bottom-up*, the gravity dual is builded on the basis of the known QCD physics assuming the usual AdS/CFT dictionary [4][5]. Despite of the success in describing some phenomenological aspects of the chiral physics, the original model is too rough in other respects. For example, the model is unable to reproduce the correct Regge spectrum for the hadronic resonances. In an attempt to incorporate more realistic features of the excited states the AdS/QCD model can be modified including a dilaton with a quadratic profile [6]. In this version, noted as *soft-wall*, the linear radial spectrum is indeed produced. As noted by [7][8] this form of the dilaton profile is also suggested by the lattice data about the IR instanton suppression.

A very crucial fact about the chiral physics in QCD is that an instanton is ever followed by zero modes of the light quarks. This is the origin of the Axial Anomaly [9]. The zero modes also generate a *instanton-antiinstanton* (IA) interaction which is responsible for the topological charged screening. Moreover, is belived [10] that, in QCD vacuum, the quark zero modes delocalize hopping between instantons and producing the collective state responsible for the chiral symmetry breaking. In this letter we try to embed the quark zero mode creation in a holographic context.

Some issue about it has already been discussed by Shuryak in [11]. This problem has been considered in the context of the Sakai-Sugimoto model in [12] (see also [13])

II. THE MODEL

In this paper we follow a completely bottom-up approach. We consider only the bulk fields dual to operators important to the chiral physics of QCD. The bulk fields are:

- the dilaton ϕ
- the graviton $h_{\mu\nu}$
- the axion C_0

- the gauge fields A^L_M and A^R_M with $M = 0, \ldots, 4$ associate with the bulk gauge flavor symmetries $U_L(N_F) \times U_R(N_F)$.

We assume that also the axial symmetry $U_A(1)$ is a symmetry of the 5D theory because it's a symmetry of the QCD in absence of topologically non trivial configurations. We assume, in accord at the usual holographic dictionary, that the boundary observer associate the following $SU(N)$ gauge theory operator at each bulk fields:

- ϕ is associated to $\mathrm{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$ where F is the two-form field strength of $SU(N)$ and the trace is over color indices
- $h_{\mu\nu}$ is associated to energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$
- C_0 is associated to the topological charged density $\mathrm{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$
- A^L_μ and A^R_μ are associated respectively to chiral currents J^L_μ and J^R_μ

C_0 and ϕ are both invariant respect to $U_L(N_F) \times U_R(N_F)$. The boundary value of the non-normalizable mode of C_0 is holographically related to the theta-angle of the QCD θ. In presence of massless fermions θ is not observable and can be taken zero. Moreover, because the operator $\mathrm{Tr} F_{\mu\nu} F_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is a total divergence, it can't contribute to the perturbative level and we have to consider the presence of C_0 in AdS only in presence of the D-instantons (see sec.III).

The dynamically correct treatment should be to consider the graviton-dilaton equations of the motion like in [14][15] derived from the gravity action

$$S_{gravity} = \int \sqrt{g} \Big[R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi + V(\phi) \Big], \tag{1}$$

Where $V(\phi)$ contains a cosmological constant term. In this way is possible to build an holographic background that reproduce Wilson loop confinement and magnetic charge screening. For the sake of simplicity, in this paper, we will use the more phenomenological set up of [6]. The background geometry is assumed to be 5-dimensional AdS space with metric

$$ds^2 = g_{MN} dx^M dx^N = \frac{L^2}{z^2} (\eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + dz^2) \tag{2}$$

where L is the AdS curvature radius and η is the Euclidean metric $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(+1, +1, +1, +1)$. The conformal coordinate z has a range $0 \leq z < \infty$. We put $L = 1$ so all quantities are adimensional. The background dilaton field has an asymptotic IR behavior, related to the confinement,

$$\phi(z \to \infty) \simeq \lambda z^2, \tag{3}$$

where λ is related to the cromoelectric string tension. A simpler way to represent the confinement would be with a sharp IR cutoff as in [2][3]. In any case, we will take the approximation that, for $z \geq \rho$ where $z = \rho \simeq \Lambda_\chi$ is the localization of the D-instanton (see sec. III), the space is flat, $0 \leq z < \infty$ and the background dilaton profile is zero. By a 4D point of view, we are taking the approximation (see for example pag. 2-3 in [11]) $\Lambda_\chi \gg \Lambda_{conf.} \sim \Lambda_{QCD}$, where Λ_χ can be identified with the cutoff of the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model

$$\Lambda_\chi = 4\pi f_\pi \approx 1.2 \quad GeV. \tag{4}$$

This approximation is resulted useful in successfull model like Shifman-Vainshtein-Zakharov sum rules [16][17] and the Instanton Liquid Model (for a review see [18]). Physically this approach is justified by the observation that at the scale of $\sim$ 1GeV, where is expected to be important the instanton physics, the QCD is still in an perturbative, semi-classical regime [20].

In this background the flavor gauge action is

$$S_{flavor} = -\frac{1}{2g_5^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g}\,\mathrm{Tr}(F_L^2 + F_R^2). \tag{5}$$

The field tensor $F_{L,R}$ are defined as

$$F_{L,R}^{MN} = \partial^M A_{L,R}^N - \partial^N A_{L,R}^M + [A_{L,R}^M, A_{L,R}^N], \tag{6}$$

where $A_{L,R}^{M,N} = A_{L,R}^{M,Na} t^a$ with the generators of the flavor gauge group t^a normalized as $\mathrm{Tr}[t^a t^b] = \delta^{ab}/2$.

There is then the kinetic action for the axion

$$S_{axion} = \frac{1}{2} \int d^5x \sqrt{g} (\partial_\mu C_0)^2. \tag{7}$$

Note that we have taken the dilaton mass to be zero. This because the scale dimension Δ of the operator $F \wedge F$ is $\Delta = 4$.

Now we add to the action a further term gauge invariant under the flavor group $U_L(N_F) \times U_R(N_F)$ (similar terms also appear in more stringy holographic QCD models as Wess-Zumino terms on the flavor branes World-Volume):

$$\begin{aligned} S_{new} &= \int C_3 \wedge \mathrm{Tr}(F_L - F_R) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \int C_3 \wedge (F_L^{aa} - F_R^{aa}), \\ F &= (F)^{ab} \quad a, b = 1, \ldots, N_F. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In eq.(8) C_3 is defined by means of the Hodge duality $dC_0 = H_1 = \star H_4 = \star dC_3$ (to be precise to define C_3 we have to take away the points where the D-instantons are placed in the same fashion as for monopole in Maxwell theory. This can be considered the 5D analogous of the singular gauge for the instantons).

Because of the discrete symmetries of the QCD the left and right terms have to appear in an asymmetric way.

Let's check that (8) is invariant under Parity $\mathcal{P}$, Charge Conjugation $\mathcal{C}$ and Time Reversal $\mathcal{T}$ (in Minkowskian signature). Remembering that the boundary coupling is

$$S_b = \int_{V_4} A^0_{L,R} \wedge j_{L,R} \tag{9}$$

where $A^0_{L,R}$ is the boundary value of the 5D gauge fields and $j_{L,R}$ are the 4D 3-form current

$$j_{L,R} = j^{\mu}_{L,R} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} dx^{\nu} \wedge dx^{\rho} \wedge dx^{\sigma} \tag{10}$$

and (neglecting,for simplicity, flavor indices)

$$j^{\mu}_{L,R} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \mp \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \tag{11}$$

The transformation proprieties of the fermionic bilinear are (see i.e. pag. 71 of [19])

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P} &: \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \to (-1)^{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \quad , \quad \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \to -(-1)^{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \\ \mathcal{C} &: \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \to -\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \quad , \quad \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \to +\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \\ \mathcal{T} &: \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \to (-1)^{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi \quad , \quad \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \to (-1)^{\mu}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^5\psi \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $(-1)^{\mu} = \pm 1$ respectively if $\mu = 0$ and if $\mu = 1, 2, 3$.

The transformation proprieties of C_3 descends from those of C_0:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P} &: C_0 \to -C_0 \Rightarrow C_3 \to C_3 \\
\mathcal{C} &: C_0 \to C_0 \Rightarrow C_3 \to C_3 \\
\mathcal{T} &: C_0 \to -C_0 \Rightarrow C_3 \to -C_3
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The discrete symmetries are important to rule out an analogous term for the dilaton that should imply the violation of the Barion Number in presence of a D-instanton

$$\int B_3 \wedge \mathrm{Tr}(F_L + F_R) \tag{14}$$

where $d\phi = B_1 = \star B_4 = \star dB_3$. Because the parity $\mathcal{P}$ we have to take the left and the right term in a symmetric way but this doesn't agree with the $\mathcal{C}$ parity.

III. INSTANTONS

In AdS/CFT the instantons (antiinstantons) are dual to $D_{-1}(\bar{D}_{-1})$ branes. In fact was shown by [21] that the instanton moduli space in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory is exactly $AdS_5 \times S_5$ with the conformal coordinate z playing the rule of the instanton size ρ. These was one of the first fact in support to the Maldacena's conjecture. As point out by [8] also in AdS/QCD the AdS_5 space can to be put in relation to the instanton moduli space. In fact considering a pure gauge theory the instanton density integrated over the collective coordinate is

$$\frac{\langle 0|0\rangle_{inst}}{\langle 0|0_{pert}} = \int \frac{d^4x d\rho}{\rho^5} n(\rho) \tag{15}$$

where the integration over the gauge group's coordinate is already been done. Neglecting prefactors

$$n(\rho) \sim \exp[-\frac{8\pi^2}{g(\rho)^2}] \tag{16}$$

where $g(\rho)$ is the effective running coupling constant to the ρ scale. From eq.(15) follows that the measure of the instanton moduli space is

$$d\mu_{inst} = d^5x\sqrt{g} = \frac{d^4x d\rho}{\rho^5} \tag{17}$$

and the moduli space metric is the AdS_5 metric (with curvature radius $L = 1$)

$$ds^2 = \frac{(d\rho^2 + dx^2)}{\rho^2} \tag{18}$$

Is important to note that to obtain the AdS_5 space we have to consider the running coupling constant in the instanton action. In fact the isometries of the AdS space are in relation to the conformal symmetry of the boundary theory and, in a pure gauge theory, the running of the coupling is the only source of conformal breaking.

So in AdS/QCD approach a instanton is represented by a event like object in the bulk (that I will call D-instanton later on) electrically coupled to C_0 (also the dilaton is coupled to the D-instanton). Then the equation of motion is $dH_4 = \tilde{\rho}_5$ and $\int_V \tilde{\rho}_5 = Q_{top}(V)$, where $Q_{top}(V)$ is the topological charge contained in the volume V.

IV. QUARK ZERO MODES AND AXIAL ANOMALY

In this section I will study the consequence of S_{new} in presence of D-instantons. Before it's in order to discuss an important point. Also if we are working with Euclidean signature we have to remember that the instanton correspond to a tunneling in Minkowski space. In particular, in AdS/QCD the D-instantons have to be considered as a *event* and, for entropy reasons, the its size have to raise. So we introduce the distance r from the D-instanton as *time* and assume that the fields produced by the D-instantons of size ρ propagates only for $z \geq \rho$. We rewrite S_{new} as:

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{new} &= \sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \int_{z\geq\rho} C_3 \wedge (F_L^{aa} - F_R^{aa}) = \sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \int_{z\geq\rho} C_3 \wedge (dA_L^{aa} - dA_R^{aa}) = \\
&= -\sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \Big[\int_{z\geq\rho} dC_3 \wedge (A_L^{aa} - A_R^{aa})\Big] + \Big[C_3 \wedge (A_L^{aa} - A_R^{aa})\Big]_{z=\rho} = \\
&= -\sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \int_{z\geq\rho} H_4 \wedge (A_L^{aa} - A_R^{aa}) + \Big[C_3 \wedge (A_L^{aa} - A_R^{aa})\Big]_{z=\rho} = \\
&= -\sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \int_{z\geq\rho} H_1^M (A_{LM}^{aa} - A_{RM}^{aa})\sqrt{g} d^5x + \Big[C_3 \wedge (A_L^{aa} - A_R^{aa})\Big]_{z=\rho},
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Then, introducing the axial combination $A = \sum_a^{N_F}(A_L^{aa} - A_R^{aa})$, we take as effective action for $z > \rho$

$$S_{new}^{eff}(\mu = \rho^{-1}) = \int H_4 \wedge A \tag{20}$$

Valued on shell, it contributes, following the holographic dictionary, to the generating functional of the connected correlation functions of QCD, W, renormalized at the scale $\mu = \rho^{-1}$:

$$S_{flavor}(\mu) + S_{axion}(\mu) + S_{new}^{eff}(\mu) = W(\mu). \tag{21}$$

Note that (20) generates the mixing between the pseudoscalar glueball and the Isospin singlet meson (see also [22]).

Because (20) is dual to the QCD effective action generated by the instantons, we want to look at the Axial Anomaly. Calling A^0 the external field coupled to the axial current j, and performing a gauge transformation $A^0 \to A^0 + d\alpha^0$, we obtain

$$\delta W[A^0] = \int \langle \partial_\mu j^\mu(x) \rangle \alpha^0(x) d^4x \tag{22}$$

In AdS/QCD this corresponds to perform a gauge transformation $A \to A + d\alpha$ which reduces to $A^0 \to A^0 + d\alpha^0$ at the boundary. Both S_{flavor} and S_{axion} are gauge invariant while

$$S_{new}^{eff} = \int H_4 \wedge A \to \int H_4 \wedge A + \int H_4 \wedge d\alpha \tag{23}$$

so, after a integration by parts and using the equation of motion of H_4, we obtain

$$\int_{z=\rho} \langle \partial_\mu j^\mu(x) \rangle \alpha^0(x) d^4x = \int_{z=\rho} (H_4)_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, z = \rho) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \alpha^0(x) d^4x \tag{24}$$

and, because $\alpha^0(x)$ is arbitrary, from QCD anomaly equation we can match

$$(H_4)_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, z = \rho)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \langle \partial_\mu j^\mu(x) \rangle \propto \mathrm{Tr} F_{\mu\nu}(x) F_{\rho\sigma}(x) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \tag{25}$$

The proportionality constant can be fixed adding a opportune constant in front to S_{new} (for the time being we ignore inessential numerical factors).

This result is in accord with the expectation, in fact, considering the integrated anomaly:

$$\int \langle \partial_\mu j^\mu(x) \rangle d^4x = \int (H_4)_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(x, z = \rho)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} d^4x = Q_{top} \tag{26}$$

Now let's consider what the S_{new} implies in presence of a D-instanton about the quark zero mode production. It is well known that, in presence of massless fermions, an instanton is ever joint up with the creation of fermionic zero modes. If the AdS/QCD is correct a similar effect have to be seen also in 5D. Using polar coordinate (r, Θ) with center on the D-instantons we have

$$S^{eff}_{new} = \sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \int \Big[\int H_1^r(r) dS \Big] (A^{aa}_{Lr}(r) - A^{aa}_{Rr}(r)) dr \tag{27}$$

where dS is the infinitesimal element of the spherical surface. We can see that the flux of H_1 plays the rule of source for the $2N_F$ "photons" of the abelian subgroups $[U_L(1)]^{N_F} \times [U_R(1)]^{N_F}$. So a D-instanton produces a wave front of the H_1 field that excites the radial components of the $2N_F$ abelian "photons". This is dual to the production of the currents j_{rL} and $-j_{rR}$ that signaled the zero mode production of a left-handed quark and of a right-handed anti-quark for each flavor. In fact $A^{aa}_{L,R\mu}$ are dual to the currents $j^{aa}_{L,R\mu} = \bar\psi_{L,R}\gamma_\mu \tau^{aa}_{L,R}\psi_{L,R}$, where $\tau^{aa}_{L,R}$ indicates the generator of the corresponding $U_{L,R}(1)$ Abelian subgroup. In formula

$$\tau_{L,R} = \sum_{a=1}^{N_F} \tau^{aa}_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} q^{(1)}_{L,R} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{(N_F)}_{L,R} \end{pmatrix} \tag{28}$$

and, because the matrix

$$\tau_B = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_L & 0 \\ 0 & \tau_R \end{pmatrix} \tag{29}$$

is to identify with the generator of the Barion Number $U_B(1)$, we have to put $q^i_L = q^i_R = 1/3$. So $-A^{aa}_{R\mu}$ is dual to $(-1/3)\bar\psi^a_R\gamma_\mu\psi^a_R$ and correspond to passing of a right-handed antiparticle. This is in accord with the 4-dimensional result which, in presence of massless fermion, a instanton is joined up with a left-handed fermion and a right-handed antifermion for each flavor. To strenghten our conclusions, let's consider the equation of motion of a generic field $A^{aa}_{L,R} \equiv A$, and neglect the non-abelian coupling terms. In fact these terms contain the fields $A^{ab}_{L,R}$ with $a \neq b$ which correspond to no one "first-quantization" current from a 4-dimensional point of view. It's worth to remark that we want to work in AdS in a limit corresponding to the semiclassical limit in QCD in which is usually studied the instanton physics. Then the equation of motion is

$$d^\dagger dA = H_1 \tag{30}$$

and let's define the 1-form "electric-field" $E \equiv dA^r$, where A^r is the radial component respect to a arbitrary origin. Then, using the equation of motion and the gauge invariance, we get

$$d^\dagger E = d^\dagger dA^r = H_1^r, \tag{31}$$

and this is the differential form of the Gauss Theorem for the "electric field" E with H_1^r playing the rule of charge density. Let's consider the case in which is present a D-instanton and we put the origin of the coordinate on the D-instanton. Then let's consider a semi-spherical volume V around the origin of radius R and integrate the equation of motion

$$Q_{top} = \int_V H_1^r(r) = \int_V d^\dagger E = E^r(R) \int_S dS \tag{32}$$

where Q_{top} is the topological charge contained into the surface S and we have used the fact that, because of the symmetries, the field depends only on r and that the "electric charge" H_1^r is localized only on the surface of the volume V. By (32) we can see that $E^r(r) \sim 1/r^4$ and so $A^r(r) \sim 1/r^3$ that is the behavior expected for the propagation of a massless fermion in 4-dimension. The 5D distance is in relation to the 4D propagation distance because the distance along z is in relation to the "size" of the wave function (in some sense to the De Broglie wave-length of the quark zero mode).

With this picture we can understand easily the topological charge screening in presence of a massless fermion. This is due to fact that the "electric field" have to be zero far from the instanton because the D-instanton correspond to a virtual effect with $\Delta E \sim \rho^{-1}$ and so the fluctuation have to be reabsorbed in a time interval $\Delta t \sim \rho$. So have to be zero its flux respect a large closed surface around the D-instanton and so have to be zero the topological charge inside. In fact in known that isolated instantons in the form of an atomic gas can not exist if there is a massless quark. The simplest neutral object is a instanton-antiinstanton molecule.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have described some feature of the instantons physics in the AdS/QCD language. This requires the introduction in AdS model of a new term. In particular we were able to describe the creation of massless quark's zero mode in a quite simple way. Also the topological charge screening follows in a direct way. In an following paper [23] we will consider some phenomenological consequence of this in the construction of viable AdS/QCD model.

Acknowlegdements: I would like thank the $CP^3 - Origins$ for hospitality and the Fondazione Angelo della Riccia for financial support. I also thank D. Seminara for an useful conversation.

[1] J. M. Maldacena, "The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 231 [Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38** (1999) 1113] [arXiv:hep-th/9711200].

[2] J. Erlich, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, "QCD and a Holographic Model of Hadrons," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95** (2005) 261602 [arXiv:hep-ph/0501128].

[3] L. Da Rold and A. Pomarol, "Chiral symmetry breaking from five dimensional spaces," Nucl. Phys. B **721** (2005) 79 [arXiv:hep-ph/0501218].

[4] E. Witten, "Anti-de Sitter space and holography," Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1998) 253 [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].

[5] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, "Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory," Phys. Lett. B **428** (1998) 105 [arXiv:hep-th/9802109].

[6] A. Karch, E. Katz, D. T. Son and M. A. Stephanov, "Linear Confinement and AdS/QCD," Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 015005 [arXiv:hep-ph/0602229].

[7] E. V. Shuryak, "Probing the boundary of the non-perturbative QCD by small size instantons," [arXiv:hep-ph/9909458].

[8] E. Shuryak, “Building a ’holographic dual’ to QCD in the AdS(5): Instantons and confinement,” [arXiv:hep-th/0605219].

[9] G. ’t Hooft, “How Instantons Solve the U(1) Problem,” Phys. Rept. **142** (1986) 357.

[10] D. Diakonov and V. Y. Petrov, “A Theory Of Light Quarks In The Instanton Vacuum,” Nucl. Phys. B **272** (1986) 457.

[11] E. Shuryak, “A ’Domain Wall’ Scenario for the AdS/QCD,” arXiv:0711.0004 [hep-ph].

[12] O. Bergman and G. Lifschytz, “Holographic U(1)A and string creation,” JHEP **0704** (2007) 043 [arXiv:hep-th/0612289].

[13] I. R. Klebanov, “D-branes and production of strings,” Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **68** (1998) 140 [arXiv:hep-th/9709160].

[14] U. Gursoy and E. Kiritsis, “Exploring improved holographic theories for QCD: Part I,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 032 [arXiv:0707.1324 [hep-th]].

[15] U. Gursoy, E. Kiritsis and F. Nitti, “Exploring improved holographic theories for QCD: Part II,” JHEP **0802** (2008) 019 [arXiv:0707.1349 [hep-th]].

[16] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, “QCD And Resonance Physics. Sum Rules,” Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 385.

[17] M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, “QCD And Resonance Physics: Applications,” Nucl. Phys. B **147** (1979) 448.

[18] T. Schafer and E. V. Shuryak, “Instantons in QCD,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **70** (1998) 323 [arXiv:hep-ph/9610451].

[19] M. Peskin, D. Schroeder, “An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory,” Westview Press, 1995

[20] E. V. Shuryak, “The Role Of Instantons In Quantum Chromodynamics. 1. Physical Vacuum,” Nucl. Phys. B **203** (1982) 93.

[21] N. Dorey, T. J. Hollowood, V. V. Khoze and M. P. Mattis, “The calculus of many instantons,” Phys. Rept. **371**, 231 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206063].

[22] E. Katz and M. D. Schwartz, “An Eta Primer: Solving the U(1) Problem with AdS/QCD,” JHEP **0708** (2007) 077 [arXiv:0705.0534 [hep-ph]].

[23] J.Bechi, ”In preparation”